

SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84111

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

	-		•		·		
	APPLICATION NO	. F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	-
10/765,371 01/27/2004		01/27/2004	L. Alma Jessop	15818.1.1	1573		
•	. 22913	7590	12/13/2006		EXAMINER		-
	WORKM.	AN NYDI	EGGER	SAFAVI, MICHAEL		_	
	(F/K/A W(F/K/A WORKMAN NYDEGGER & SEELEY)					
60 EAST SOUTH TEMPLE 1000 EAGLE GATE TOWER			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
			3673				

DATE MAILED: 12/13/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/765,371	JESSOP ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	M. Safavi	3673					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 25 Se	eptember 2006.	•					
	action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowan		secution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims	·						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>11-22 and 32-46</u> is/are pending in the	application.	•					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6) Claim(s) <u>11-16,32-36,38-40 and 42</u> is/are rejec	·						
7) Claim(s) 17-22,37,41 and 43-46 is/are objected							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.	•					
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner		•					
·— · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	0)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. & 119(a)	-(d) or (f)					
a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
	•						
Attachment(s)		•					
1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa						
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:						

Art Unit: 3673

Claim Objections

Claims 42 and 43 are objected to under 37 CFR § 1.75(a) & (c) because of the following informalities: Claim 42, "said first portion and said second portion" lacks antecedent basis within the claim. Also, in claim 43 "said intermediate portion" lacks antecedent basis within the claim. Applicant may wish to amend claim 42 to depend from claim 41 so as to maintain appropriate antecedent basis for "said first portion and said second portion", (as previously with claim 18). Such amendment would also supply appropriate antecedent basis for "said intermediate portion" of claim 43.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 11-22, 38, 45, and 46 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 38, line 1, to which panel does "said panel" refer? Claim 32 presents a plurality of panels while claim 38 makes reference to a single panel. Therefore it is not clear as to which panel does "said panel" refers.

Application/Control Number: 10/765,371

Art Unit: 3673

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.
- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 11-18 and 32-42 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by McAbee et al. '272. As for claims 11, 32, and 39, Eby '835 discloses, Figs. 1-3 and 9, for example, a plurality of forms 12, 12 or 14, 14 each said form having: a panel 12 or 14 comprising at least one bracket 86, 88 mounted to each of said first end and said second end of said panel; a first bracket, (as along one edge), has a tubular portion from a top surface of said panel towards a bottom surface, while a second bracket, (as along an opposite edge), has a tubular portion extending from said bottom surface towards said top surface; a plurality of stakes 138 slidably cooperating with said plurality of forms, one stake 138 of the plurality of stakes slidably cooperating with two adjacently positioned forms of said plurality of forms when the hole of the first end bracket of one form of the plurality of forms; and at least one tie or bracket 16 secured to a top of one or more of said forms to maintain a spacing between spaced

Art Unit: 3673

apart and parallel forms of said plurality of forms, (claims 11, 15, and 32). At least one skin member 24 can be seen bridging a gap between two of said plurality of forms 21, 22, (claims 16, 36, 40, and 42). End member, or bulkhead form, is at 14 of Fig. 1, for example, with bulkhead bracket at 86 or 88. Form 12 can be seen as disposed between first and second flanges, (upper and lower flanges), of each bulkhead bracket, (flanges 40, 42 extend above and below at least a portion of the panel 12 if not extending along an upper most and lower most edge respectively), (claims 12, 13, 33, 34, and 39). Hole of bulkhead bracket is as shown in Fig. 1, 2, or 3 with a stake 138 extending therethrough, (claims 14 and 35). The form assembly of Eby can be seen as formed of natural or synthetic or composite or metal material, (claim 38).

Claims 17-22, 37, 41, and 44-46 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Claim 43 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the claim objection(s) under 37 CFR § 1.75(a) & (c), set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 11, 32, and 39 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Application/Control Number: 10/765,371

Art Unit: 3673

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in

Page 5

this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP

§ 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37

CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE

MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within

TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not

mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the

shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any

extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later

than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to M. Safavi whose telephone number is (571) 272-7046.

The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Thur., 8:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Patricia Engle can be reached on (571) 272-6660. The fax phone number

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

M. Safavi December 08, 2006

MICHAEL SAFAVI PRIMARY EXAMINER

ART UNIT 354